

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/036,090	11/06/2001	Hiromitsu Takei	81868.0038	1996	
26021	7590 09/2	03			
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1900			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, HANH N	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/036,090	TAKEI, HIROMITSU
Examiner	Art Unit
Nguyen N Hanh	2834

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

LXaIII	ination (NCL) in compliance with 57 Cl. 17 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [2 b) [The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
fee hav fee und (2) as s	resolver(i). Too.or(i). Too.or(i): 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension be been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if siled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.[]	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖾	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 21-29</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.🛛	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>30 June 2003</u> is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	The proposed drawing correction filed on 30 June 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other:
	v \

Application No. 10/036,090

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations: "wherein a length dimension and a width dimension of the at least one bond magnet in a cross-section orthogonal to an axis of the rotor is are both greater than a corresponding dimensions of the at least one of the slits" as in claims 1 and 21, and "and an outer peripheral face of the at least one bond magnet is fitted into an entire inner peripheral face of the plurality of stacked plates of the rotor core wherein no space is left between the bond magnet and the stacked plates regardless of an unevenness of the inner peripheral face of the plurality of stacked plates of the rotor core" as in claim 21 are new limitations that require further search and consideration..